



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,063	09/23/2003	Gregory C. Ladden	CE11643W	5118
22917	7590	05/18/2007		
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			EXAMINER ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN	
			ART UNIT 2616	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 05/18/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.Schaumburg@motorola.com
APT099@motorola.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/670,063

Applicant(s)

LADDEN ET AL.

Examiner

Bo Hui A. Zhu

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 – 5, 9 – 13 and 15 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Acampora et al. (US 4,760,570).

(1) with regard to claim 1:

Acampora et al. discloses a system and method, comprising: receiving by a source module (10₁ – 10_N on Fig. 1) data to be transferred; broadcasting the data to each destination module via a data link associated with the destination module and an inbound time slot of a plurality of inbound time slots (data are broadcasted on channels 14₁ – 14_N, in time slots TIME SLOTS, on Fig. 1); selecting a destination module (12₁ – 12_N on Fig. 1) to process the data; and informing the selected destination module of the inbound time slot and receiving the data by the selected destination module via the inbound time slot (column 4, lines 47 – 50; the selected destination module receives data in the time slots that the data addressed to the destination module is transmitted in); and processing the data by the selected destination module (each output, 12₁ – 12_N, receives its own data).

(2) with regard to claims 2, 3, 11 and 12:

Acampora et al. further discloses that determining a source module that receives the data (each packet filter, $20_1 - 20_N$ on Fig. 2, determines a source module); and determining an inbound time slot in which the source module will broadcast the data based on time slot in which the source module receives the data (the time slot used to send input data through the broadcast channels, $14_1 - 14_N$, is determined by the time slot arrangement from the inputs $10_1 - 10_N$, because data simply get propagated down the broadcast channels).

(3) with regard to claim 4:

Acampora et al. further discloses that the source module and the inbound time slot are determined in response to a set of a call (because the switching system, 11 on Fig. 1, is trying to transmit data from one point to another, i.e. from inputs to outputs, a connection is being set up and any type of connection between two points can be reasonably viewed as a call).

(4) with regard to claims 5 and 13:

Acampora et al. further discloses that the plurality of source modules and the plurality of destination modules are implemented in a transcoder (the switching system shown on Fig. 1 can be considered as a transcoder because the signal at the outputs of the switching system are different than the signal at the inputs, therefore they have different format and the whole system can be viewed as a transcoder).

(5) with regard to claims 9, 15 and 16:

Acampora et al. discloses a system and method, comprising: receiving by a source module of a plurality of source module ($10_1 - 10_N$ on Fig. 1) data to be

Art Unit: 2616

transferred; broadcasting the data to each destination module via a data link associated with the destination module of a plurality of destination module and an inbound time slot of a plurality of inbound time slots ($14_1 - 14_N$ on Fig. 1; data being broadcasted in a time slot is inherent because time division multiplexing is used on the broadcast channels 14_N); a controller coupled to each destination module ($15_1 - 15_N$ on Fig. 1), in response to a set up of a call (because the switching system, 11 on Fig. 1, is trying to transmit data from one point to another, i.e. from inputs to outputs, a connection is being set up and any type of connection between two points can reasonably be viewed as a call), selecting a destination module ($12_1 - 12_N$ on Fig. 1) to process the data and informing the selected destination module of the inbound time slot and receiving the data by the selected destination module via the inbound time slot (column 4, lines 47 – 50; the destination is selected based on the address of the packet; the selected destination knowing the time slot to receive its data is inherent because it must know that in order to receive the data); and processing the data by the selected destination module (each output, $12_1 - 12_N$, receives its own data).

(6) with regard to claim 10:

Acampora et al. further discloses that the selected destination module processes the data based on the time slot and data link information provided by the call controller (the bus interfaces, $15_1 - 15_N$ on Fig. 1, control the data processing for the destinations).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acampora et al. (US 4,760,570).

(1) with regard to claims 6 and 14:

Acampora et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above, and further discloses that each source module comprises an interface board (each inputs, 10₁ – 10_N on Fig. 1, inherently must have an interface board interfacing with the switch 11). Acampora et al. does not teach each destination comprises a voice processing function and the data comprises voice data.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the data with voice signal and using a voice signal coder to process the voice signal is well known in the art, for example, the Pulse-Code modulation (PCM) is used to process voice signal in a digital format and PCM coder is well known in the art. It would be desirable to have a voice processing device to process voice signal because it would allow the system the ability to process audio signal, thus increase the functionality of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to incorporate voice processing in to the system of Acampora et al.

Art Unit: 2616

5. Claims 7, 8, 17 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acampora et al. (US 4,760,570) in view of Ando (US 4,392,222).

(1) with regard to claims 7, 17, 18 and 21:

Acampora et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above.

However, Acampora et al. does not disclose allocating an outbound time slot for use in transferring outbound data from the selected destination module to the source module; tagging the data to produce tagged data; embedding by the destination module the tagged data in the allocated outbound time slot; and transferring the tagged data to the source module in the allocated outbound time slot.

Ando teaches a system that uses packet switching technique to transmit data in a time slot related to the direction of the transmission and attaching address information to the data to identify the receiving terminal of the data being transmitted (column 4, lines 44 – 51).

It would have been desirable to use a encapsulation technique used in packet switching to transmit data to a specific destination because it would save system resource and make the system more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to use the encapsulation technique as taught by Ando in the system of Acampora et al. in order to improve network efficiency.

(2) with regard to claims 8, 19, 22 and 24:

Acampora et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above.

However, Acampora et al. does not disclose receiving the tagged data by the source

module; determining by the source module and based on the tag, that the data in the allocated outbound time slot is to be forwarded; forwarding the data by the source module.

Ando teaches attaching address information to data in order to identify the terminal to receive the data (column 4, lines 44 – 51; the receiving terminal inherently identifies it is the destination of the data based on the address information and further process the data after receiving it).

It would have been desirable to use an encapsulation technique used in packet switching to transmit data to a specific destination because it would save system resource and make the system more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to use the encapsulation technique as taught by Ando in the system of Acampora et al. in order to improve network efficiency.

(3) with regard to claim 20:

Acampora et al. discloses a system, comprising: a source module ($10_1 - 10_N$ on Fig. 1); broadcasting the data to a plurality of destination module. Acampora et al. does not disclose allocating an outbound time slot for use in transferring outbound data from a selected destination module to the source module; tagging the data to produce tagged data; embedding by the destination module the tagged data in the allocated outbound time slot.

Ando teaches attaching address information to data in order to identify the terminal to receive the data (column 4, lines 44 – 51; the receiving terminal inherently

identifies it is the destination of the data based on the address information and further process the data after receiving it).

It would have been desirable to use an encapsulation technique used in packet switching to transmit data to a specific destination because it would save system resource and make the system more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to use the encapsulation technique as taught by Ando in the system of Acampora et al. in order to improve network efficiency.

(4) with regard to claim 23:

Acampora et al. discloses a method, comprising: determining a source module that broadcasts data (each packet filter, $20_1 - 20_N$ on Fig. 2, determines a source module); selecting a destination module (column 4, lines 47 – 50; the destination is selected based on the address of the packet) to process the data. Acampora et al. does not disclose allocating an outbound time slot for use in transferring outbound data from a selected destination module to the source module; tagging the data to produce tagged data; embedding by the destination module the tagged data and transferring the tagged data to the source module in the allocated outbound time slot.

Ando teaches attaching address information to data in order to identify the terminal to receive the data (column 4, lines 44 – 51; the receiving terminal inherently identifies it is the destination of the data based on the address information and further process the data after receiving it).

Art Unit: 2616

It would have been desirable to use an encapsulation technique used in packet switching to transmit data to a specific destination because it would save system resource and make the system more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to use the encapsulation technique as taught by Ando in the system of Acampora et al. in order to improve network efficiency.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bo Hui A. Zhu whose telephone number is (571)270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BZ
May 3, 2007



HASSAN KIZOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600